

# PATIENTS ATTENDED IN EMERGENCIES WITH ATRIAL FIBRILLATION AND STRUCTURAL CARDIOPATIA: EVALUATION OF CARDIOVERSION PHARMACOLOGY AND ANTIARRHYTHMIC USE

Authors: Nuria Rodríguez García, María Córcoles Vergara, María Consuelo Quesada Martínez, Elena del Carmen Martínez Cánovas, Blanca from Villa Zamora, Virginia Nicolás García, Sergio Antonio Pastor Marín, Pascual Piñera Salmerón.



## Background:

Atrial fibrillation is a common reason for consulting the Emergency Department, which requires rapid and effective treatment, mainly in cases associated with hemodynamic instability, taking into account special conditions such as structural heart disease.

#### **OBJECTIVE:**

To assess in patients with structural heart disease who need control at sinus rhythm those who underwent pharmacological cardioversion, the efficacy and type of antiarrhythmic drugs used.



#### Patients & Methods:

Descriptive, observational, retrospective study in an emergency service that attends to a population of 200,000 inhabitants and 275 emergencies / day in which all patients diagnosed with atrial fibrillation (AF) who consulted in the period of January 1 were selected. 2017 to June 26, 2017 that presented previous structural cardiopathy measured by previous echocardiography up to 2 years previously, fulfilling the inclusion criteria 240 patients.

Variables under study: presence of structural heart disease, pharmacological cardioversion (FVC) performed, efficacy of FVC, antiarrhythmic drug used.

### Results & discussion:

During the period studied in 2017, 240 patients were consulted in our Emergency Department who were diagnosed with AF, of whom 31.25% had structural heart disease. Among them, in order of frequency, 30.41% had valve heart disease, 27%. 9% dilated, 27% hypertrophic and 6.25% ischemic. Of the total percentage of structural heart diseases, 62.67% of the rhythm control required FVC to be effective in 72.34% of the cases. Of these, 82.35% were treated with Flecainide being effective in 71.43%, 38.24 %%, with Amiodarone being effective in 61.65% and 17.64 %% with Vernakalant being effective in 83.33%.

## Conclusion & perspectives:

- In approximately more than 50% of patients with structural heart disease treated with FVC, the antiarrhythmic of choice was Flecainide in accordance with clinical practice guidelines.
- Approximately one third of heart diseases were hypertrophic, another third valvular and another third dilated as shown in the literature.
- Some patients were treated with Vernakalant as an alternative to Flecainide for FVC of patients with AF as supported by clinical practice guidelines in patients with mild HF (NYHA I-II), including patients with ischemic heart disease, provided that present hypotension or severe aortic stenosis.

#### **KEYWORDS:**

Atrial Fibrillation, Muscular Dystrophy, Emery-Dreifuss, History Taking, Medical